IFW



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

est.	
In re application of:	
Serial No.: 10/757,164	:
Applicant: Gottfurcht, Elliot A.	: Confirmation No:
Filing Date:	: Examiner: Corbett B. Coburn
Attorney Docket: 004346.P001X4	: Group Art Unit: 3714

# **SUBMISSION OF FORMAL DRAWINGS**

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Please find attached herewith, formal bristol board drawings which are to be entered in the above application for those corresponding sheets presently on file. Changes, as required, are incorporated in these new drawings.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Miller

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman 12400 Wilshire Blvd., 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

OIPE				
Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
FEB 0 2 2005 10/757,		GOTTFURCHT, E	LLIOT A	
Office Action Summary Examin	ef	Art Unit		
TO A DE MA DE LA CORDETT		3714	i	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply	he cover sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	idress —	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less timen thirty (30) days are reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 20	04.			
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Ali b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal		PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23/8/04.	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. Applicant's Formal Drawings have been received, but have not been scanned into the file. Therefore, Examiner cannot approve the drawing changes. Examiner suggests Applicant submit copies of the formal drawings on paper instead of Bristol board so that the drawings can be scanned into the file.

# Claim Interpretation

- 2. As pointed out in the previous office action, Applicant's claims are extremely broad.

  Examiner informed Applicant that some of the claims are so broad that they border on the indefinite. In the interest of prosecution, Examiner did not reject these claims under 35 USC §112. Instead, Examiner interpreted the claims to the best of his ability while, at the same time, urging Applicant to narrow the claims to more closely reflect Applicant's invention.
- 3. Examiner then pointed to claim 10 as a particularly egregious example of a claim that could have been rejected under 35 USC §112. In spite of Examiner's clear warning, Applicant declined to amend the claims and argued that if the claim was unclear it should have been rejected under 35 USC §112. Examiner has no choice but to make such a rejection. Since Applicant was put on notice that the claim was defective and could have been rejected under 35 USC §112, Examiner will make this rejection final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.